## Neural Networks for the localization of biological and anthropogenic source at a neutrino deep sea telescopes and deep sea observatories

Ludwig Houégnigan, Climent Nadeu, Mike van der Schaar, Michel André,

Laboratory of Applied Bioacoustics(LAB), Polytechnic University of Catalonia (UPC), Barcelona Tech

7<sup>th</sup> Workshop on DCLDE of Marine Mammals Tuesday 14<sup>th</sup> July 2015, La Jolla, USA



# Outline

### ▶ 1: Context

- 2: From Classification to localization using pattern recognition techniques
- 3: Application to localization at underwater observatories
- ► 4: Conclusions and perspectives



# LIDO (Listening to the Deep Ocean environment)

Effects of anthropogenic noise on marine ecosystems

Uses cetaceans as bio-indicators for marine ecosystems

Real-time long-term monitoring of geohazard and ambient noise at sea

Network of cabled underwater observatories

For more information and live streams, please visit: http://www.listentothedeep.com



Listening to the Deep-Ocean Environment







## The Evolution of Passive Acoustic Monitoring

- A challenging generation of systems (autonomous buoys, gliders, AUVs, ...) has emerged and will be increasingly used for monitoring purposes, so that:
- Software for real-time DCL should be made robust and efficient with regard to data management, energy consumption, payload, etc.





# Comments on previous slides

- New systems for acoustic detection, classification and localization of marine mammals have emerged that include arrays of autonomous buoys, gliders and underwater autonomous vehicles. The LAB has been working on their development and evaluation; they require a thorough optimization of energy and data streams.
- In the meantime localization can be a costly operation. Multiple channels data streams are typically necessary for source localization estimation leading to exponentially increasing computational costs. Some extremely accurate techniques such as beamforming sometimes have to be discarded mostly for computational resources.
- We need to perform as much offline computations as possible so that the online system uses as little energy and computational resources and time as possible. This means that we will train our system to perform source localization offline with real or simulated data. Once trained the system is ready to be placed in a real-time online situation where it will systemically converge to a fast solution with a controlled error. To do this our choice has been to use Artificial Neural networks.

# Classification for monitoring

Airgun sound	Codfish grunt
Shipping impulses	Sperm whale clicks

Typical tools used for classification come from Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning : Support Vector Machines, Gaussian Mixture Models, Neural Networks...



# From Classification to Localization



... the same tools can be used here : Support Vector Machines, Gaussian Mixture Models, Neural Networks...



# Localization as spatial classification



In such a situation Neural Networks can be used for a robust localization provided that sufficient and adequate data(features) is provided for training.



# Artificial neural networks

- The human brain is a densely interconnected network of approximately 10<sup>11</sup> neurons, each connected to, on average, 10<sup>4</sup> others.
- This structure is assumed to give a good account of its abilities to learn patterns and trends in sound, images, time series and all kinds of data...
- Artificial neural networks (ANN) are a machine learning approach that models the human brain and consists of a number of artificial neurons. It aims at imitating- at a much simpler level- the learning abilities of the human brain.

### Artificial neural networks (Application to localization)



# Artificial neural networks

- Neural network can be used for supervised learning : they aim to minimize the difference between output data and the target data.
- Consists in an Iterative process of adjusting coefficients until user defined threshold on error is reached.





## A basic simulation in "this" room...

# Estimation of direction of speaker in 5 sectors using a neural network



#### Characterization of localizing neural network 1 layer and 10 neurons has the following performance : (input=Time-differences of arrival or covariance matrix, target=directions of arrival)



Confusion Matrix						
1	<b>86</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	81.9%
	17.2%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	18.1%
2	5	<b>92</b>	6	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	89.3%
	1.0%	18.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%
Class	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	86.4%
C	0.0%	0.4%	7.6%	0.8%	0.0%	13.6%
tndtno	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>93</b>	62	44.9%
4	0.4%	0.8%	9.2%	18.6%	12.4%	55.1%
5	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	3	33	80.5%
	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%	0.6%	6.6%	19.5%
	91.5%	80.0%	41.3%	93.0%	33.3%	68.4%
	8.5%	20.0%	58.7%	7.0%	66.7%	31.6%
1 2 3 4 5 Target Class						

#### SIZE INCREASE : Neural network with 1 layer and 20 neurons Change in structure produces improvement in localization...



Some metrics of neural network localizer/classifier

	10 neurons	20 neurons
Precision per class	0.9897	1.0000
	0.9294	0.9783
	0.9789	0.9510
Recall per class	0.9580	0.9040
	0.9920	0.9920
	0.9300	0.9700
	0.9400	0.9600

# Estimating direction of the source with a resolution of 1 degree (180 sectors) :









# Main advantages of this approach

- Provides a drastic reduction of complexity for the same precision as ML solutions and approaches the Cramer-Rao lower bound (variance is controlled).
- Can provide a probability density function for the source position.
- The localizer is sharply engineered with similar metrics as in Detection
  - → Output's MSE and variance are explicitly engineered\_by us and can feed a tracker.
- Avoids complex matrix computations which makes it more suitable for real-time implementations.
- Can take into account variation in sound speed profile, uncertainty in sensor position and timedelay estimation.
- Provides powerful methods for localization with a great ability for modelling and dealing with complex features and trends in data.



# Training for real world situations :

#### (a) Robustification with varying additive noise : why ?

Time-delay estimates or covariance matrix estimates have variance.

At training, Gaussian noise scaled on the known variance of the time-delay estimator or non-Gaussian noise can be added to the input TDOAs/covariance matrix in order to come closer to a real-world situation.

#### (b) Robustification with varying sound speed : why ?

Average speed of sound or sound speed profile are not always availableor may vary ...

→ To make the algorithm more robust, the neural network is trained for several speeds of sound.
 → A single target may relate to several TDOAs which have different speeds of sound. In that case the average speed of sound appears as a latent – yet controlled- variable in the training of the neural network.

# Monitoring Applications :

# 1: Nemo Deep sea telescope2 : Antares Deep sea telescope

3: Autec Range (Monaco workshop data)



## Nemo Deep Sea Observatory (Sicily) (Neutrino detection)

Objective : acoustic detection of high energy neutrinos

Array : 4 Hydrophones
Compact Tetrahedral shape (2.5m side)
Bottom-mounted, at 2080 m depth

Bandwidth : 36 Hz - 43 kHz
 Suitable for the monitoring of many cetaceans' vocalizations







## Automated Bearing Estimation, series of click locations

5 minutes recordings, > 600 sperm whale clicks



## Automated Bearing Estimation, series of click locations

5 minutes recordings, sperm whale clicks



## Automated Bearing Estimation, series of click locations

5 minutes recordings, sperm whale clicks



#### Automated Bearing Estimation, series of shipping impulses

5 minutes recordings, > Shipping impulses



## Antares Deep Sea Observatory (Ligurian Sea) (Neutrino detection)



#### Challenges :

- Saturated signals
- Missing data, e.g. time of emission of pings.
- Real-time



#### Sensor localization technique



A multilayer perceptron (MLP) with 2 hidden-layers (20 units/10 units) was used to map timedelays for 14 pings and 36 sensors and compared to a more classical minimization approach. No particular effort was made at optimizing the architecture of the network.



Classical Approach : online minimization	Neural network procedure
Total Function Evaluations: 3387	<ol> <li>Create a series of sensors (resp. source) positions with a defined resolution and associated features (TDOAS).</li> <li>Superimpose the features with noise of chosen type (here white gaussian noise).</li> <li>Use the TDOAs and position data from step 1 to train the neural network.</li> <li>Apply trained neural net to estimate position for real data.</li> </ol>
15 - 10	<ul> <li>Slow training, 2-3 hours</li> <li>Instant convergence (closed-form solution)</li> <li>Monitors in real-time the movement of the lines</li> </ul>

- Slow process
- Requires differentiable forward model + initial guess.
- Difficult or absence of convergence depending on noise.
- Localization becomes imposible.
- → Not wanted for real-time application !

• In the classical approach we go through an error minimization to find the correct line position.

- > Convergence to a minimum can take long.
- > Convergence may fail if data is noisy.
- The neural network always provides a solution with a controlled error in a fixed time.
- The amount of online calculation is minimized by offline training.



## Tracking of a sperm whale at Autec Range (Monaco workshop dataset using 3 sensors only)

## **Conclusions :**

- Powerful tools used for classification (SVM, Neural Networks,...) can be used for a robust & realtime localization based on beamforming or TDOAS solutions.
- This automated Localizer can be throughly a priori characterized qualified with the same metrics as DC methods.
- Efficient use of machine learning approach for <u>real-time</u> localization.
- Makes an efficient use of redundant information and all available sensors.
- Suitable solution for gliders, autonomous buoys, catamarans...
- Simple coding => simplified programming tasks before deployment.
- Original training style on high-level features permits to robustify the method and outperforms classic TDOA-based methods and beamformers in noisy environment.

## **Perspectives and Future Work :**

- Increase model complexity (sound speed profile, topography, absorption model) while maintaining real-time duties, e.g. for localization of baleen whales in SOFAR <u>channel</u> (Emulate ray-tracing)
- Approach with larger neural networks (possibly Restricted Boltmann machines , Convolutional Neural Networks and other Deep Learning approaches) :
  - with lower level features
  - Smart room as a proof of concept
    - $\rightarrow$  before extension to more complex deep sea environments
    - ightarrow taking advantage of large datasets available

# Thank you for your attention

# ... and questions !

